



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,587	10/04/2001	John Pitts	60,469-053; OT-4987	1199
Theodore W. Olds CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. Suite 350 400 West Maple Road Birmingham, MI 48009			EXAMINER CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT 3682	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/26/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JOHN PITTS, BRUCE SWAYBILL,
PATRICIA A. DERWINSKI, and
HUGH JAMES O'DONNELL

Appeal 2007-4383
Application 09/970,587
Technology Center 3600

Decided: March 26, 2008

Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, DAVID E. WALKER, and BIBHU R.
MOHANTY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRAWFORD, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER REMANDING TO THE EXAMINER

This appeal was taken pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 134 from the Final
Rejection of claims 15, 16, 19, 21 to 24, 26 and 27.

Our review of the application leads us to conclude that this appeal is not in condition for a decision at this time. Therefore, we REMAND this application to the Examiner to consider the following issues and to take appropriate action.

The Final Office action mailed on June 2, 2003, from which Appellants appeal, is not included in the image file wrapper (IFW).

In addition, the Examiner's Answer, mailed May 4, 2004 does not include an explanation of the rejection. Rather, the Examiner's Answer refers to the Final Rejection for a statement of the rejections. We note that 37 C.F.R. § 41.39(a)(1) (2007) states that the Examiner may file an answer that includes "such explanation of the invention claimed and of the references relied upon and grounds of rejection as may be necessary, supplying a copy to appellant."

Accordingly, we remand the application to the Examiner to complete the IFW so as to include the Final Rejection mailed June 2, 2003 and for the Examiner to include an explanation of each ground of rejection in the Answer.

This remand to the Examiner pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(a)(1) (2007) is made for further consideration of a rejection. Accordingly, 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(a)(2) (2007) applies if a Supplemental Examiner's Answer is written in response to this Remand by the Board.

REMANDED

Appeal 2007-4383
Application 09/970,587

hh

Theodore W. Olds
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.
Suite 350
400 West Maple Road
Birmingham, MI 48009